

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,517	11/21/2003	Steven R. Sedlmayr	AUO1014	3373	
75	90 03/03/2005		EXAMINER		
Law Offices of Roxana H. Yang			FINEMAN, LEE A		
PO Box 400 Los Altos, CA	94023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			H:A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/719,517	SEDLMAYR, STEVEN R.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lee Fineman	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filir applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (active continued Examination (active periods):	an amendment, affidavit, or ppeal (with appeal fee) in compee with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	other evidence, which planting with 37 CFR 41.31	ces the ; or (3) a
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN (f).	idate of the final rejection. THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally se	he fee. The appropriate extens et in the final Office action; or (2	ion fee under 37 2) as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	41.37 must be filed within two CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dism	o months of the date of filin issal of the appeal. Since	ng the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	n, but prior to the date of filing	a brief will not be entered	l hecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of the control of the con	consideration and/or search (selow);	ee NOTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of fin	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Non-Compliant Amendme	nt (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amend	ment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 132.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	a) 🔀 will not be entered, or b rovided below or appended.	) 🗌 will be entered and a	n explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	but before or on the date of fil and sufficient reasons why the	ling a Notice of Appeal will affidavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde	r appeal and/or appellant i	fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allov	vance because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

See Continuation Sheet.

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed system from the cited prior art.

Applicant argues that Miyatake reference does not teach or suggest "the dichroic reflecting surfaces of the two dichroic mirrors are placed on different planes." The examiner respectfully disagrees. The applicant does not provide any specifics in the claims, specification or drawings defining the direction of the planes and therefore the dichroic reflecting surfaces (9 and 10) of the two dichroic mirrors (7 and 8) of Miyatake are considered placed on different planes at least in the plane direction of first mirror (6) or in the plane direction of the paper as each of the surfaces (9 and 10) are on opposite sides of the first mirror (6).